

I believe that Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their 62 local stations to pre-empt regular programming to air an anti-Kerry documentary just two weeks before the Presidential Election to be outrageous. Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest.

It is not in the public interest for local stations to be forced to air content against their wishes that is so obviously one-sided and prejudicial about a Presidential candidate. This is clearly unpatriotic and not the American-Way.

This is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.